App F IX 4

Previous References

24 10-44- 52,53

1944-45 (44) File No 10-44
Serial Nos 133, 134

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos two

6

Part B for June 45

SUBJECT

Appointment of at least two Propagandists on Rs 60/pm in the Bengal city Municipality

To the Hon'ble Commr B'ent through the Post

L 11186 dated 17/5/45

Rejects the Proposal for reconsideration

Letter No A.S.-C. 514/44 dt 9-4-45 from the ML Commr, Bhuj stating that the ML have resolved that propoganda for educating the public is quite essential & that at least 2 propoganda's on a monthly salary of Rs 50 from each PM should be appointed & that Govt may be requested to accord sanction to their resolution

1 The present reference is to reconsider the orders already passed by Govt in this behalf & invited for orders with the previous reference

2 The orders in para 1 & 4 of the note in the reference file please below (ML 10-44-53) were communicated to the Mamlatdar ML. They however want to persist in their attempts to get their resolution ~~passed~~ sanctioned, even though they are convinced of the futility of the scheme to some extent (vide discussion on the subject)

3 The request for a reconsideration of the orders already passed by the Govt is for orders

Laws Secy

WD 02139—GPR—20 000—26 2 45

C F 69]

Continuation Sheet | File No / Current No 6761 | of | Page

May be requested that
the matter Counsel be
requested
re
Rules 1102 2 4 5
for
Govt are not convinced
that reconsideration of the
previous order is justified
DOJ
7/5/45
Dept Memo of
7/5/45
sent with a draft letter for appl
Army
10/5. 11/5/45
To the Nat Comm'r Bkcy through POT.
2/6 L-11186 d-12/5/45
Declines the reconsideration
of previous order

OFFICE OF [illegible]
FOR [illegible] IN LAW
No. 4645
Date 4/5/45

Ml 10-44-133

BANGALORE CITY MUNICIPALITY

6781
10 APR 1945
C. D. A. OFFICE

No A5-C 514/44

MUNICIPAL OFFICES

Encl One

Bangalore 9th April 1945

3

FROM K Subba Rao Esq
MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER
Bangalore City

TO

C Narayanaswamy Naidu Esq., B A , B L.,
Legal Remembrancer and
Secretary to Government,
LawDepartment
Bangalore

SIR

With reference to your letter No L 6578/M1 10-44-53 dated dated 4-1-1945, stating that the proposal of the Municipal Council to appoint two graduates on Rs 50/- plus Rs 5/- C A each P M for carrying on propaganda work in this City does not commend itself to Government and that the Sanitary Staff who can do the necessary propaganda in their rounds in the City should be instructed to do accordingly I have the honour to state that your letter under reference was placed before the Council They have at their meeting held on 22-3-1945 while recording the said communication resolved that propaganda for educating the public is quite essential and that at least 2 propagandasts on a monthly salary of Rs 50/- each P M should be appointed and that Government may be requested to accord sanction to their resolution

A copy of the resolution of the Council referred to above is herein enclosed for favour of obtaining orders of Government thereto

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant

K Subba Rao

Municipal Commissioner

Forwarded with compliments

M A Gopalaswamy Iyengar
Hon President.
17/4/45

mlt

Proceedings of the meeting of Council dated 22-3-1945.

8 Letter No L 6578/Ml. 10-44-53 dated 4-1-1945 from the Law Secretary that the proposal of the Council to appoint two graduates for propaganda work for educating the public in health matters does commend itself to Government etc

Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao suggested that they should reiterate their previous resolution Quite convinced of the advantages of having propagandists, the Council had passed a resolution to this effect long back and this should be insisted upon

The President said that personally he was not convinced much about the usefulness of having official propagandists. The entire question of civic propaganda needed thorough overhauling and he was contemplating formulating a scheme in this behalf This Government order might be recorded and they might take up the question along with the entire question

Mr M V Veerappa seconded the suggestion of Mr C N Narasinga Rau to reiterate their previous resolution

Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao observed that there was no second thought about the necessity for propagandists but the only point that was vexing most of the members was whether it was really necessary to have graduate propagandists A graduate might not be included to do this propaganda work Highly qualified people in such places would be reluctant to do such work Further, they go away as soon as they got better offers

So while insisting upon the appointment of propagandists they need not insist that they should be graduates alone.

Mr C N Narasinga Rao agreed to the proposal of Mr H C Suryanarayana Rao and the following resolution was passed by the House

"Recorded This Council is of opinion that propaganda for educating the public in health matters is quite essential and that at least 2 propagandists on a monthly salary of Rs 50/- each should be appointed The Government may be requested to accord sanction to the above proposals "

Sd M A Gopalaswamy Iyengar

Hon President

Municipal Commissioner

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT

Section ML

File No 10—44

Serial No 134

Diary No of letter r plied to

No L-11186

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 194

The ML Commr, Bngr Hrs DOG

Sir,

Date of issue 17/5/41

Signed by Mr S R Rao

With reference to your letter No A5-C514/44 Dt 9-4-45 forwarding for orders of Govt a copy of the resolution of the MLCC Dt 22-3-45 requesting that ~~of reconsidering~~ the orders of Govt declining to sanction the proposal relating to the ~~a~~ posting of two Graduates on Rs 150 Pm + Rs 15 CA each P.m for the purpose of carrying on the propaganda in the City may be reconsidered,

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0744—GPE—15 000—10 10-44

I am directed to state that the Govt
are not convinced that there is any
possibility for a reconsideration
of their previous orders in this behalf is justified

I have etc

for
Dor sey to Govt
Ilb Law Dept